

Exercise ○○○○○

Let N be the set of variables $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, \mathcal{S}_m be the sub-graded set of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{N}^2}$ consisting of $\{c_{2,i} : i \in \llbracket m \rrbracket\}$.

For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let the TRS $\text{FCat}_m := (\mathcal{S}_m, N, \rightarrow_m)$ such that \rightarrow_m satisfies

$$c_{2,i+j} \underline{c_i 12}_3 \rightarrow_m c_{2,i} \underline{1 c_{2,j} 23}_j$$

for any $i, j \in \llbracket m \rrbracket$ with $i + j \in \llbracket m \rrbracket$.

Describe a system of equations in $\mathbb{K}\langle\langle \mathcal{X} \cdot \mathcal{S}_m \cdot N \rangle\rangle$ for the planar normal forms of FCat_m , $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Exercise ○○○○○

Let N be the set of variables $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and \mathcal{S} be the sub-graded set of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{N}^2}$ consisting of $\{c_{2,0}, c_{2,1}, c_{2,2}\}$.

Let the TRS $\text{Schr} := (\mathcal{S}, N, \rightarrow)$ such that \rightarrow satisfies

$$c_{2,0} \underline{c_{2,0} 12}_3 \rightarrow c_{2,0} \underline{1 c_{2,0} 23}_j, \quad c_{2,1} \underline{c_{2,2} 12}_3 \rightarrow c_{2,2} \underline{1 c_{2,1} 23}_j, \quad c_{2,0} \underline{c_{2,1} 12}_3 \rightarrow c_{2,0} \underline{1 c_{2,2} 23}_j, \quad c_{2,1} \underline{c_{2,0} 12}_3 \rightarrow c_{2,0} \underline{1 c_{2,1} 23}_j,$$

$$c_{2,0} \underline{c_{2,2} 12}_3 \rightarrow c_{2,2} \underline{1 c_{2,0} 23}_j, \quad c_{2,1} \underline{c_{2,1} 12}_3 \rightarrow c_{2,1} \underline{1 c_{2,0} 23}_j, \quad c_{2,2} \underline{c_{2,0} 12}_3 \rightarrow c_{2,2} \underline{1 c_{2,2} 23}_j.$$

Describe a system of equations in $\mathbb{K}\langle\langle \mathcal{X} \cdot \mathcal{S} \cdot N \rangle\rangle$ for the planar normal forms of Schr .

/ Termination

7.2. Reduction relations

Let $\mathcal{A} := (X, \Rightarrow)$ be an ARS.

A binary relation \rightsquigarrow is a *termination witness* of \mathcal{A} if the ARS $\mathcal{A}' := (X, \rightsquigarrow)$ is terminating and \mathcal{A} is a sub-ARS of \mathcal{A}' .

Proposition [Termination witnesses]

An ARS \mathcal{A} is terminating iff \mathcal{A} admits a termination witness.

Proof. Let us denote by \Rightarrow the rewrite relation of \mathcal{A} .

Assume that \mathcal{A} is terminating. In this case, \Rightarrow is trivially a termination witness of \mathcal{A} .

Conversely, assume that \mathcal{A} admits a termination witness \rightsquigarrow . Assume that $(u_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an infinite rewrite sequence in \mathcal{A} . For any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $u_i \Rightarrow u_{i+1}$. Since, by hypothesis, \mathcal{A} is a sub-ARS of $\mathcal{A}' := (X, \rightsquigarrow)$, we have $\Rightarrow \subseteq \rightsquigarrow$ so that, for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $u_i \rightsquigarrow u_{i+1}$. This shows that $(u_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is also an infinite rewrite sequence in \mathcal{A}' . This contradicts our hypothesis that \mathcal{A}' is terminating, showing that such an infinite rewrite sequence $(u_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{A} does not exist. Therefore, \mathcal{A} is terminating.

Let \mathcal{S} be a signature, V be a set of variables, and \rightsquigarrow be a binary relation on $\mathcal{T}\cdot\mathcal{S}\cdot V$.

The binary relation \rightsquigarrow is *compatible from factors* if \rightsquigarrow satisfies the two following properties:

(CF1) for any $c \in \mathcal{S}\cdot n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \in [n]$, $t_1, \dots, t_i, t'_i, \dots, t_n \in \mathcal{T}\cdot\mathcal{S}\cdot V$,

$$t_i \rightsquigarrow t'_i \text{ implies } ct_1 \dots t_i \dots t_n \rightsquigarrow ct_1 \dots t'_i \dots t_n;$$

(CF2) for any $t, t' \in \mathcal{T}\cdot\mathcal{S}\cdot V$ and any \mathcal{S}, V -substitution σ ,

$$t \rightsquigarrow t' \text{ implies } \bar{\sigma}\cdot t \rightsquigarrow \bar{\sigma}\cdot t'.$$

Proposition [Compatibility from factors]

Let \mathcal{S} be a signature and V be a set of variables. A binary relation \rightsquigarrow on $\mathcal{T}\cdot\mathcal{S}\cdot V$ is compatible from factors iff for any holed \mathcal{S}, V -term s , any \mathcal{S}, V -terms t and t' , and any \mathcal{S}, V -substitution σ ,

$$t \rightsquigarrow t' \text{ implies } \triangleleft \cdot s \cdot t \cdot \sigma \rightsquigarrow \triangleleft \cdot s \cdot t' \cdot \sigma.$$

Definition

Let \mathcal{S} be a signature and V be a set of variables. A binary relation \rightsquigarrow on $\mathcal{T}\cdot\mathcal{S}\cdot V$ is a *reduction relation* on $\mathcal{T}\cdot\mathcal{S}\cdot V$ if the ARS $(\mathcal{T}\cdot\mathcal{S}\cdot V, \rightsquigarrow)$ is terminating and \rightsquigarrow is compatible from factors.

Let \mathcal{S} be a signature and V be a set of variables.

The *length relation* is the binary relation \rightsquigarrow_ℓ on $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{V}$ defined, for any \mathcal{S}, V -terms t and t' , by $t \rightsquigarrow_\ell t'$ if $l \cdot t > l \cdot t'$ and, for any $v \in V$, $l_v \cdot t \geq l_v \cdot t'$.

Examples

On $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{N}^2}, V_{\mathbb{N}}$ -terms, we have

- $t := c_2[c_4v_2v_1v_1v_1]v_3 \rightsquigarrow_\ell c_3[c_2v_1v_1]c_0c_0 := t'$ because $l \cdot t = 7 > 6 = l \cdot t'$, $l_{v_1} \cdot t = 3 \geq 2 = l_{v_1} \cdot t'$, $l_{v_2} \cdot t = 1 \geq 0 = l_{v_2} \cdot t'$, and $l_{v_3} \cdot t = 1 \geq 0 = l_{v_3} \cdot t'$.
- By setting $t := c_3v_1v_2v_2$ and $t' := c_2v_2v_3$, we have $t \not\rightsquigarrow_\ell t'$ and $t' \not\rightsquigarrow_\ell t$.

Proposition [Length reduction relation]

For any signature \mathcal{S} and any set of variables V , \rightsquigarrow_ℓ is a reduction relation on $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{V}$.

Exercise ○○○○○

Prove the previous proposition.

Let $\mathcal{T} := (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V}, \rightarrow)$ be a TRS.

A binary relation \rightsquigarrow on $\mathfrak{T} \cdot \mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{V}$ is \mathcal{T} -compatible if $\rightarrow \subseteq \rightsquigarrow$.

Theorem [Compatible reduction relations and termination]

A TRS \mathcal{T} is terminating iff there exists a \mathcal{T} -compatible reduction relation.

Proof. Let \mathcal{S} be the underlying signature of \mathcal{T} , \mathcal{V} the underlying set of variables of \mathcal{T} , and \rightarrow be the elementary rewrite relation of \mathcal{T} .

Assume that \mathcal{T} is terminating. By Propositions [Rewrite relation of a TRS] and [Compatibility from factors], \Rightarrow is compatible from factors. Moreover, \Rightarrow is trivially \mathcal{T} -compatible. Since by hypothesis, the ARS $(\mathfrak{T} \cdot \mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{V}, \Rightarrow)$ is terminating, \Rightarrow is a \mathcal{T} -compatible reduction relation.

Assume that \rightsquigarrow is a \mathcal{T} -compatible reduction relation on $\mathfrak{T} \cdot \mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{V}$. Since \rightsquigarrow is a reduction relation, the ARS $\mathcal{A} := (\mathfrak{T} \cdot \mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{V}, \rightsquigarrow)$ is terminating. Moreover, assume that τ and τ' are two \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V} -terms such that $\tau \Rightarrow \tau'$. By Proposition [Rewrite relation of a TRS], there is a holed \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V} -term s , two \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V} -terms t and t' , and an \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V} -substitution σ such that $t \rightarrow t'$, $\tau = \Delta \cdot s \cdot t \cdot \sigma$, and $\tau' = \Delta \cdot s \cdot t' \cdot \sigma$. From this, and since \rightsquigarrow is \mathcal{T} -compatible, we have $t \rightsquigarrow t'$. Now, since \rightsquigarrow is compatible from factors, by Proposition [Compatibility from factors], the previous properties imply $\tau \rightsquigarrow \tau'$. This shows that the ARS of \mathcal{T} is a sub-ARS of \mathcal{A} . By Proposition [Termination witnesses], the desired property holds.

In order to prove that a TRS $\mathcal{T} := (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V}, \rightarrow)$ is terminating, the *reduction relation method* consists in

- (1) constructing a binary relation \rightsquigarrow on $\mathcal{T} \cdot \mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{V}$;
- (2) showing that the ARS $(\mathcal{T} \cdot \mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{V}, \rightsquigarrow)$ is terminating;
- (3) showing that \rightsquigarrow is compatible from factors;
- (4) showing that \rightsquigarrow is \mathcal{T} -compatible.

By Theorem [Compatible reduction relations and termination], we obtain the desired property.

In practice, it is difficult to guess such a binary relation \rightsquigarrow which will satisfy the three required properties.

Example

Let us show that the TRS Assoc is terminating by using the reduction relation method.

Let \mathcal{S} (resp. \mathcal{V}) be the underlying signature (resp. set of variables) of Assoc.

1. Let for any $v \in \mathcal{V}$ the function lc defined for any \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V} -term t by

$$lc_v \cdot t := \sum_{w \in P \cdot t} [w \cdot \underline{t \cdot w} \cdot 1 = v] 2^{\ell_1 \cdot w}.$$

For instance, $lc_1 \cdot \underline{m_1 \underline{m_1 m_1 2}_1 \underline{m_1 \underline{m_1 3}_1 1}_1 \underline{m_2 2}_1} = 8 + 8 + 1 = 17$.

Let \rightsquigarrow be the binary relation on $\mathcal{T} \cdot \mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{V}$ such that, for any \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V} -terms t and t' , $t \rightsquigarrow t'$ if for all $v \in \mathcal{V}$, $lc_v \cdot t \geq lc_v \cdot t'$ and there exists $v \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $lc_v \cdot t > lc_v \cdot t'$.

2. Let the function $\theta := t \mapsto \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} lc_v \cdot t$. For any \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V} -terms t and t' such that $t \rightsquigarrow t'$, $\theta \cdot t > \theta \cdot t'$. Since the codomain of θ is \mathbb{N} , the ARS $(\mathcal{T} \cdot \mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{V}, \rightsquigarrow)$ is terminating.
3. The compatibility from factors is straightforward (but technical): Properties (CF1) and (CF2) can be proven directly.
4. Let $t := m_1 \underline{m_1 2}_1 3$ and $t' := m_1 \underline{m_1 2}_1 3$. Since $(lc_1 \cdot t, lc_2 \cdot t, lc_3 \cdot t) = (4, 2, 1)$ and $(lc_1 \cdot t', lc_2 \cdot t', lc_3 \cdot t') = (2, 2, 1)$, $t \rightsquigarrow t'$. Therefore, \rightsquigarrow is Assoc-compatible.

/ Termination

7.3. Semantic method

Definition

An S -algebra is a triple $(X, \mathcal{S}, \text{op})$ where

- \mathcal{S} is a signature, called the *underlying signature*;
- X is a nonempty set, called the *underlying set*;
- op is a function such that for any $c \in \mathcal{S} \cdot n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\text{op} \cdot c$ is an n -operation on X .

Examples

Let the signature $\mathcal{S} := (\{z, s, a\}, \text{ar})$ such that $\text{ar} \cdot z = 0$, $\text{ar} \cdot s = 1$, and $\text{ar} \cdot a = 2$.

- By setting $\text{op} \cdot z := 0$, $\text{op} \cdot s := n \mapsto 1 + n$, and $\text{op} \cdot a := n_1 \mapsto n_2 \mapsto n_1 + n_2$, the triple $(\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{S}, \text{op})$ is an \mathcal{S} -algebra.
- By setting $\text{op} \cdot z := \emptyset$, $\text{op} \cdot s := S \mapsto \mathbb{Z} \setminus S$, and $\text{op} \cdot a := S_1 \mapsto S_2 \mapsto S_1 \cap S_2$, the triple $(\mathcal{P} \cdot \mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{S}, \text{op})$ is an \mathcal{S} -algebra.
- By setting $\text{op} \cdot z := 1$, $\text{op} \cdot s := b \mapsto 1 - b$, and $\text{op} \cdot a := b_1 \mapsto b_2 \mapsto b_1 \times b_2$, the triple $(\{0, 1\}, \mathcal{S}, \text{op})$ is an \mathcal{S} -algebra.

Let V be a set of variables and X be a nonempty set.

A V, X -assignment is a function $\alpha : V \rightarrow X$.

Given a signature \mathcal{S} , an \mathcal{S} -algebra $\mathcal{A} := (X, \mathcal{S}, \text{op})$, and a V, X -assignment α , the \mathcal{A}, α -evaluation $\text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}, \alpha} \cdot t$ of an \mathcal{S}, V -term t is defined recursively by

$$\text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}, \alpha} \cdot t := \begin{cases} \alpha \cdot v & \text{if } t = v \text{ for a } v \in V, \\ \text{op} \cdot c \cdot \underbrace{\text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}, \alpha} \cdot t_1} \cdot \cdots \cdot \underbrace{\text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}, \alpha} \cdot t_n} & \text{otherwise, where } t = ct_1 \dots t_n, c \in \mathcal{S} \cdot n, n \in \mathbb{N}, t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathcal{T} \cdot \mathcal{S} \cdot V. \end{cases}$$

Example

Let us consider the first \mathcal{S} -algebra \mathcal{A} of the previous example.

Let α be the $V_{\mathbb{N}}, \mathbb{N}$ -assignment α defined by $\alpha \cdot v_1 := 3$, $\alpha \cdot v_2 := 1$, and $\alpha \cdot v_i := 0$, $i \geq 3$. We have

$$\text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}, \alpha} \cdot s \underbrace{a \underbrace{\underbrace{\underbrace{sv_1}_1}_{a \underbrace{\underbrace{\underbrace{sz}_1}_{v_2}}_1}_{v_2}}_1}_1 = 1 + \underbrace{1 + 3}_1 + \underbrace{1 + \underbrace{1 + 0}_1}_1 + 1 = 8.$$

Let \mathcal{S} be a signature, V be a set of variables, $\mathcal{A} := (X, \mathcal{S}, \text{op})$ be an \mathcal{S} -algebra, and \mathcal{R} be a binary relation on X .

The *relation induced by \mathcal{R}* is the binary relation $\rightsquigarrow_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R}}$ on $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{S}V$ such that, for any \mathcal{S}, V -terms t and t' , $t \rightsquigarrow_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R}} t'$ holds if $\text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}, \alpha} \cdot t \mathcal{R} \text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}, \alpha} \cdot t'$ for all V, X -assignments α .

Examples

Let us consider the \mathcal{S} -algebra \mathcal{A} of the previous example.

Let $>$ be the usual ‘‘greater-than’’ relation on \mathbb{N} .

We have

$$aV_1 \lfloor sV_2 \rfloor \rightsquigarrow_{\mathcal{A}, >} aV_1V_2.$$

Indeed, for any $V_{\mathbb{N}}, \mathbb{N}$ assignment α , we have

$$\text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}, \alpha} \cdot \lfloor aV_1 \lfloor sV_2 \rfloor \rfloor = \lfloor \alpha \cdot V_1 \rfloor + \lfloor \alpha \cdot V_2 \rfloor + 1 > \lfloor \alpha \cdot V_1 \rfloor + \lfloor \alpha \cdot V_2 \rfloor = \text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}, \alpha} \cdot \lfloor aV_1V_2 \rfloor.$$

By setting $t := aV_1V_2$ and $t' := sV_1$, we have neither $t \rightsquigarrow_{\mathcal{A}, >} t'$ nor $t' \rightsquigarrow_{\mathcal{A}, >} t$.

Let \mathcal{S} be a signature, $\mathcal{A} := (X, \mathcal{S}, \text{op})$ be an \mathcal{S} -algebra, and \mathcal{R} be a binary relation on X .

The \mathcal{S} -algebra \mathcal{A} is \mathcal{R} -monotone if for any $c \in \mathcal{S} \cdot n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \in [n]$, and $x_1, \dots, x_i, x'_i, \dots, x_n \in X$,

$$x_i \mathcal{R} x'_i \text{ implies } \text{op} \cdot c \cdot x_1 \cdot \dots \cdot x_i \cdot \dots \cdot x_n \mathcal{R} \text{op} \cdot c \cdot x_1 \cdot \dots \cdot x'_i \cdot \dots \cdot x_n.$$

Example

Let us consider the \mathcal{S} -algebra \mathcal{A} and the binary relation $>$ of the previous example. We immediately have that \mathcal{A} is $>$ -monotone.

Theorem [Reduction relations from \mathcal{S} -algebras]

Let \mathcal{S} be a signature, $\mathcal{A} := (X, \mathcal{S}, \text{op})$ be an \mathcal{S} -algebra, and \mathcal{R} be a binary relation on X . If the ARS (X, \mathcal{R}) is terminating and \mathcal{A} is \mathcal{R} -monotone, then $\rightsquigarrow_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R}}$ is a reduction relation.

This result is due to [Z. Manna, S. Ness, On the termination of Markov algorithms, 1970].

Proof (of Theorem [Reduction relations from \mathcal{S} -algebras]). Since X is nonempty, pick $x \in X$ and let α be the V, X -assignment defined by $\alpha \cdot v := x$ for any $v \in V$. Let $(u_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an infinite rewrite sequence in $(\mathfrak{T} \cdot \mathcal{S} \cdot V, \rightsquigarrow_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R}})$. Hence, for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $u_i \rightsquigarrow_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R}} u_{i+1}$, so that, by definition of $\rightsquigarrow_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R}}$, for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}, \alpha} \cdot u_i \mathcal{R} \text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}, \alpha} \cdot u_{i+1}$. Therefore, $(\text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}, \alpha} \cdot u_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an infinite rewrite sequence in (X, \mathcal{R}) . Since (X, \mathcal{R}) is terminating, such an infinite rewrite sequence $(u_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ cannot exist in $(\mathfrak{T} \cdot \mathcal{S} \cdot V, \rightsquigarrow_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R}})$. Hence, this ARS is terminating.

Let $c \in \mathcal{S} \cdot n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \in [n]$, and $t_1, \dots, t_i, t'_i, \dots, t_n \in \mathfrak{T} \cdot \mathcal{S} \cdot V$ such that $t_i \rightsquigarrow_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R}} t'_i$. By definition of $\rightsquigarrow_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R}}$, for any V, X -assignment α , $\text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}, \alpha} \cdot t_i \mathcal{R} \text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}, \alpha} \cdot t'_i$. Since \mathcal{A} is \mathcal{R} -monotone, by setting $s := c t_1 \cdot \dots \cdot t_i \cdot \dots \cdot t_n$ and $s' := c t_1 \cdot \dots \cdot t'_i \cdot \dots \cdot t_n$, we have $s \rightsquigarrow_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R}} s'$ because

$$\text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}, \alpha} \cdot s = \text{op} \cdot c \cdot \underline{\text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}, \alpha} \cdot t_1} \cdot \dots \cdot \underline{\text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}, \alpha} \cdot t_i} \cdot \dots \cdot \underline{\text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}, \alpha} \cdot t_n} \mathcal{R} \text{op} \cdot c \cdot \underline{\text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}, \alpha} \cdot t_1} \cdot \dots \cdot \underline{\text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}, \alpha} \cdot t'_i} \cdot \dots \cdot \underline{\text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}, \alpha} \cdot t_n} = \text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}, \alpha} \cdot s'.$$

Given a V, X -assignment α and an \mathcal{S}, V -substitution σ , let $\alpha \circ \sigma$ be the V, X -assignment defined, for any $v \in V$, by $\underline{\alpha \circ \sigma} \cdot v := \text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}, \alpha} \cdot \underline{\sigma \cdot v}$. It follows, by structural induction on an \mathcal{S}, V -term t that $\text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}, \alpha} \cdot \underline{\sigma \cdot t} = \text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}, \alpha \circ \sigma} \cdot t$. Now, let $t, t' \in \mathfrak{T} \cdot \mathcal{S} \cdot V$ such that $t \rightsquigarrow_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R}} t'$. From this last assumption, for any \mathcal{S}, V -substitution σ , by setting $s := \bar{\sigma} \cdot t$ and $s' := \bar{\sigma} \cdot t'$, we have $s \rightsquigarrow_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R}} s'$ because

$$\text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}, \alpha} \cdot s = \text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}, \alpha \circ \sigma} \cdot t \mathcal{R} \text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}, \alpha \circ \sigma} \cdot t' = \text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}, \alpha} \cdot s'.$$

This shows that $\rightsquigarrow_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R}}$ is compatible from factors and implies the statement of the theorem.

In order to prove that a TRS $\mathcal{T} := (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V}, \rightarrow)$ is terminating, the *semantic method* consists in

- (1) constructing an \mathcal{S} -algebra $(X, \mathcal{S}, \text{op})$;
- (2) constructing a binary relation \mathcal{R} on X ;
- (3) showing that the ARS (X, \mathcal{R}) is terminating;
- (4) showing that \mathcal{A} is \mathcal{R} -monotone;
- (5) showing that $\rightsquigarrow_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R}}$ is \mathcal{T} -compatible.

By Theorem [Reduction relations from \mathcal{S} -algebras], and Theorem [Compatible reduction relations and termination], we obtain the desired property.

In practice, it is difficult to guess such an \mathcal{S} -algebra \mathcal{A} and such a binary relation \mathcal{R} which will satisfy the three required properties.

Example

Let $\mathcal{T} := (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{N}}, \rightarrow)$ be the TRS such that $\mathcal{S} := (\{z, s, f\}, \text{ar})$ where $\text{ar}\cdot z = 0$, $\text{ar}\cdot s = 1$, and $\text{ar}\cdot f = 1$, and $f_{\lfloor \text{sv}_1 \rfloor} \rightarrow f_{\lfloor \text{fv}_1 \rfloor}$. Let us show that \mathcal{T} is terminating by using the semantic method.

1. Let the \mathcal{S} -algebra $\mathcal{A} := (\mathbb{N}^2, \mathcal{S}, \text{op})$ such that $\text{op}\cdot z := (0, 0)$, $\text{op}\cdot s := (n_1, n_2) \mapsto (n_1 + 1, n_2)$, and $\text{op}\cdot f := (n_1, n_2) \mapsto (n_1, n_2 + 1)$.
2. Let the binary relation \mathcal{R} on \mathbb{N}^2 defined by $(i_1, i_2) \mathcal{R} (i'_1, i'_2)$ if $i_1 > i'_1$, or $i_1 = i'_1$ and $i_2 > i'_2$.
3. It is immediate that $(\mathbb{N}^2, \mathcal{R})$ is terminating.
4. It is immediate that \mathcal{A} is \mathcal{R} -monotone.
5. For any $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{N}}, \mathbb{N}^2$ -assignment α such that $\alpha\cdot v_1 = (i_1, i_2)$, we have

$$\text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}, \alpha} \cdot f_{\lfloor \text{sv}_1 \rfloor} = \text{op}\cdot f \cdot \text{op}\cdot s \cdot \lfloor \alpha\cdot v_1 \rfloor = \text{op}\cdot f \cdot \text{op}\cdot s \cdot (i_1, i_2) = \text{op}\cdot f \cdot (i_1 + 1, i_2) = (i_1 + 1, i_2 + 1)$$

and

$$\text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}, \alpha} \cdot f_{\lfloor \text{fv}_1 \rfloor} = \text{op}\cdot f \cdot \text{op}\cdot f \cdot \lfloor \alpha\cdot v_1 \rfloor = \text{op}\cdot f \cdot \text{op}\cdot f \cdot (i_1, i_2) = \text{op}\cdot f \cdot (i_1, i_2 + 1) = (i_1, i_2 + 2).$$

Therefore, as $(i_1 + 1, i_2 + 1) \mathcal{R} (i_1, i_2 + 2)$, we have $f_{\lfloor \text{sv}_1 \rfloor} \rightsquigarrow_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R}} f_{\lfloor \text{fv}_1 \rfloor}$. This shows that $\rightsquigarrow_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R}}$ is \mathcal{T} -compatible.

/ Termination

7.4. Polynomial interpretations

Let X be the set of variables $\{x_i : i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}\}$ and X_n be the subset $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of X for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition

Let \mathcal{S} be a signature. An \mathcal{S} -polynomial interpretation is an \mathcal{S} -algebra $(N, \mathcal{S}, \text{op})$ where

- N is a subset of $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$;
- for any $c \in \mathcal{S} \cdot n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the function $\text{op} \cdot c$ is functionally equivalent to a function $x_1 \mapsto \dots \mapsto x_n \mapsto f_c$ such that f_c is a $\mathbb{K}, \text{Mon} \cdot X_n$ -polynomial where coefficients belong to \mathbb{N} .

Example

Let the signature $\mathcal{S} := (\{z, s, a\}, \text{ar})$ where $\text{ar} \cdot z = 0$, $\text{ar} \cdot s = 1$, and $\text{ar} \cdot a = 2$.

The triple $(N, \mathcal{S}, \text{op})$ where $\text{op} \cdot z := 10$, $\text{op} \cdot s := n_1 \mapsto n_1^2$, $\text{op} \cdot a := n_1 \mapsto n_2 \mapsto 3n_1 + 2$, and $N := \{2n : n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}\}$ is an \mathcal{S} -polynomial interpretation.

Indeed, $\text{op} \cdot z$ is functionally equivalent to the constant function 10, $\text{op} \cdot s$ is functionally equivalent to the function $x_1 \mapsto x_1^2$, and $\text{op} \cdot a$ is functionally equivalent to the function $x_1 \mapsto x_2 \mapsto 3x_1 + 2$.

We have $f_z = 10$, $f_s = x_1^2$, and $f_a = 3x_1 + 2$.

Let V be a set of variables and Z_V be the set of variables $\{z_v : v \in V\}$.

If f is a $\mathbb{K}, \text{Mon} \cdot X_n$ -polynomial, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $f'_1 \dots f'_n$ is a sequence of $\mathbb{K}, \text{Mon} \cdot Z_V$ -polynomials, let $f(f'_1, \dots, f'_n)$ be the $\mathbb{K}, \text{Mon} \cdot Z_V$ -polynomial obtained by substituting by f'_i each occurrence of a variable x_i in f , for all $i \in [n]$.

Let \mathcal{S} be a signature and $(N, \mathcal{S}, \text{op})$ be an \mathcal{S} -polynomial interpretation.

Given an \mathcal{S}, V -term t , the *polynomial* of t is the $\mathbb{K}, \text{Mon} \cdot Z_V$ -polynomial defined recursively by

$$\text{Pol} \cdot t := \begin{cases} z_v & \text{if } t = v \text{ for a } v \in V, \\ f_c(\text{Pol} \cdot t_1, \dots, \text{Pol} \cdot t_n) & \text{otherwise, where } t = c t_1 \dots t_n, c \in \mathcal{S} \cdot n, n \in \mathbb{N}, t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathcal{T} \cdot \mathcal{S} \cdot V. \end{cases}$$

Example

Let \mathcal{S} be the sub-graded set of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{N}^2}$ whose underlying set is $\{c_0, c_2, c_3\}$ and the \mathcal{S} -polynomial interpretation $(N, \mathcal{S}, \text{op})$ such that $N := \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, $\text{op} \cdot c_0 := 2$, $\text{op} \cdot c_2 := n_1 \mapsto n_2 \mapsto n_1^2 + n_2$, and $\text{op} \cdot c_3 := n_1 \mapsto n_2 \mapsto n_3 \mapsto n_1 n_2 + n_2 n_3$. We have $f_{c_0} = 2$, $f_{c_2} = x_1^2 + x_2$, and $f_{c_3} = x_1 x_2 + x_2 x_3$.

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pol} \cdot \underline{c_3 [c_3 v_1 v_2 v_1] [c_2 v_3 v_5] c_0} &= f_{c_3}(f_{c_3}(z_{v_1}, z_{v_2}, z_{v_1}), f_{c_2}(z_{v_3}, z_{v_5}), f_{c_0}) \\ &= f_{c_3}(2z_{v_1} z_{v_2}, z_{v_3}^2 + z_{v_5}, 2) = 2z_{v_1} z_{v_2} (z_{v_3}^2 + z_{v_5}) + 2(z_{v_3}^2 + z_{v_5}) = 2z_{v_1} z_{v_2} z_{v_3}^2 + 2z_{v_1} z_{v_2} z_{v_5} + 2z_{v_3}^2 + 2z_{v_5}. \end{aligned}$$