

Examples

Let the signature $\mathcal{S} := (\{z, s, s'.a\}, \text{ar})$ such that $\text{ar}\cdot z = 0$, $\text{ar}\cdot s = 1$, $\text{ar}\cdot s' = 1$, and $\text{ar}\cdot a = 2$.

Let \succ be the binary relation on the underlying set of \mathcal{S} satisfying $a \succ s$, $s \succ z$, $s' \succ z$, $s' \succ s$, and $s' \succ a$.

Let ω be the $\mathcal{S}, \mathbb{V}, \succ$ -weight function defined by $\omega_{\mathbb{V}} := 1$, $\omega\cdot z := 1$, $\omega\cdot s := 1$, $\omega\cdot s' := 0$, and $\omega\cdot a = 2$.

Let the $\mathcal{S}, \mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{N}}$ -term

$$t := a_{\underline{a}z\underline{v}_1}_{\underline{s}a\underline{v}_2\underline{s}z\underline{j}}$$

- Let $t' := av_1v_2$. We have $t >_{\text{KB}}^{\succ, \omega} t'$ by applying the Weight Case: we have $l_{v_1}\cdot t = 1 \geq 1 = l_{v_1}\cdot t'$, $l_{v_2}\cdot t = 1 \geq 1 = l_{v_2}\cdot t'$, and $\omega\cdot t = 12 > 4 = \omega\cdot t'$.
- Let $t' := s_{\underline{a}a\underline{s}z\underline{v}_1}_{\underline{a}z\underline{j}}$. We have $t >_{\text{KB}}^{\succ, \omega} t'$ by applying the Precedence Case: we have $l_{v_1}\cdot t = 1 \geq 1 = l_{v_1}\cdot t'$, $l_{v_2}\cdot t = 1 \geq 0 = l_{v_2}\cdot t'$, $\omega\cdot t = 12 = \omega\cdot t'$, and $a \succ s$.
- Let $t' := a_{\underline{a}z\underline{v}_1}_{\underline{s}s\underline{a}z\underline{j}}$. We have $t >_{\text{KB}}^{\succ, \omega} t'$ by applying the Lexicographic Case: we have $l_{v_1}\cdot t = 1 \geq 1 = l_{v_1}\cdot t'$, $l_{v_2}\cdot t = 1 \geq 0 = l_{v_2}\cdot t'$, $\omega\cdot t = 12 = \omega\cdot t'$, $t\cdot 1 = t'\cdot 1$, and $t\cdot 1 >_{\text{KB}}^{\succ, \omega} t'\cdot 1$.

Moreover, by setting $t := s'_{\underline{s}'\underline{s}'\underline{v}_1}$ and $t' := v_1$, we have $t >_{\text{KB}}^{\succ, \omega} t'$ by applying the Unary Case: $l_{v_1}\cdot t = 1 \geq 1 = l_{v_1}\cdot t'$, $l_s\cdot t \geq 1$, and $\omega\cdot t = 0 = \omega\cdot t'$.

Example

Let $\mathcal{T} := (\mathcal{S}, \{v\}, \rightarrow)$ be the TRS such that $\mathcal{S} := (\{f, g\}, \text{ar})$ where $\text{ar} \cdot f = 1$ and $\text{ar} \cdot g = 1$, and $g \underline{g}v \rightarrow fv$ and $f \underline{g}v \rightarrow g \underline{f}v$.

Let \succ be the binary relation on the underlying set of \mathcal{S} satisfying $f \succ g$.

Let ω be the $\mathcal{S}, \{v\}, \succ$ -weight function defined by $\omega \cdot v := 1$, $\omega \cdot f := 1$, and $\omega \cdot g := 1$.

It can be easily checked that $g \underline{g}v \succ_{\text{KB}}^{\succ, \omega} fv$ and $f \underline{g}v \succ_{\text{KB}}^{\succ, \omega} g \underline{f}v$. Therefore, \mathcal{T} is terminating.

Example

Let $\mathcal{T} := (\mathcal{S}, V, \rightarrow)$ be the TRS such that $\mathcal{S} := (\{s, a\}, \text{ar})$ where $\text{ar} \cdot s = 1$ and $\text{ar} \cdot a = 2$, $V := \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$, and $a \underline{sv_1} \underline{av_2v_3} \rightarrow av_1 \underline{a \underline{s \underline{sv_2}} v_3}$ and $a \underline{sv_1} \underline{av_2 \underline{av_3v_4}} \rightarrow av_1 \underline{av_3 \underline{av_2v_4}}$.

Let \succ be the binary relation on the underlying set of \mathcal{S} satisfying $s \succ a$.

Let ω be the \mathcal{S}, V, \succ -weight function defined by $\omega_v := 1$, $\omega \cdot s := 0$, and $\omega \cdot a := 0$.

It can be easily checked that $a \underline{sv_1} \underline{av_2v_3} \succ_{\text{KB}}^{\succ, \omega} av_1 \underline{a \underline{s \underline{sv_2}} v_3}$ and $a \underline{sv_1} \underline{av_2 \underline{av_3v_4}} \succ_{\text{KB}}^{\succ, \omega} av_1 \underline{av_3 \underline{av_2v_4}}$.

Therefore, \mathcal{T} is terminating.

Let \mathcal{S} be a signature, \mathcal{V} be a set of variables, and \succ be a binary relation on the underlying set of \mathcal{S} .

The \succ -*lexicographic path relation* is the binary relation $\succ_{\text{LP}}^{\succ}$ on $\mathfrak{T}\cdot\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{V}$ defined recursively as follows. For any \mathcal{S},\mathcal{V} -terms t and t' , we have $t \succ_{\text{LP}}^{\succ} t'$ if one of the following assertion holds:

- [Subterm Case] $t = c \langle t \cdot 1 \rangle \dots \langle t \cdot n \rangle$ with $c \in \mathcal{S} \cdot n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and there exists $i \in [n]$ such that $t \cdot i = t'$ or $t \cdot i \succ_{\text{LP}}^{\succ} t'$;
- [Precedence Case] $t = c \langle t \cdot 1 \rangle \dots \langle t \cdot n \rangle$ with $c \in \mathcal{S} \cdot n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t' = c' \langle t' \cdot 1 \rangle \dots \langle t' \cdot n' \rangle$ with $c' \in \mathcal{S} \cdot n'$, $n' \in \mathbb{N}$, $c \succ c'$, and $t \succ_{\text{LP}}^{\succ} t' \cdot i'$ for any $i' \in [n']$;
- [Lexicographic Case] $t = c \langle t \cdot 1 \rangle \dots \langle t \cdot n \rangle$, $t' = c' \langle t' \cdot 1 \rangle \dots \langle t' \cdot n' \rangle$ with $c \in \mathcal{S} \cdot n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \succ_{\text{LP}}^{\succ} t' \cdot i$ for all $i \in [n]$, and there is $j \in [n]$ such that $t \cdot j \succ_{\text{LP}}^{\succ} t' \cdot j$ and for any $j' \in [i-1]$, $t \cdot j' = t' \cdot j'$.

Proposition [Lexicographic path simplification relation]

For any signature \mathcal{S} , any set of variables \mathcal{V} , and any binary relation \succ on \mathcal{S} such that the ARS (\mathcal{S}, \succ) is terminating, the binary relation $\succ_{\text{LP}}^{\succ}$ is a simplification relation on $\mathfrak{T}\cdot\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{V}$.

This result is due to [S. Kamin, J.-J. Levy, Two Generalizations of the Recursive Path Ordering, 1980].

Examples

Let the signature $\mathcal{S} := (\{z, s, a\}, \text{ar})$ such that $\text{ar}\cdot z = 0$, $\text{ar}\cdot s = 1$, and $\text{ar}\cdot a = 2$.

Let \succ be the binary relation on the underlying set of \mathcal{S} satisfying $a \succ s$ and $s \succ z$.

Let the $\mathcal{S}, \mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{N}}$ -term $t := a_{\lfloor \underline{sv_1} \rfloor} a z_{\lfloor \underline{sv_2} \rfloor}$.

- Let $t' := sv_2$. We have $t >_{\text{LP}}^{\succ} t'$ by applying recursively the Subterm Case: we have $t \cdot 22 = t'$, which implies $t \cdot 2 >_{\text{LP}}^{\succ} t'$, which implies $t >_{\text{LP}}^{\succ} t'$.
- Let $t' := sz$. We have $t >_{\text{LP}}^{\succ} t'$ by applying the Precedence Case: we have $a \succ s$ and $t >_{\text{LP}}^{\succ} t' \cdot 1$.
- Let $t' := a_{\lfloor \underline{sv_1} \rfloor} \underline{sv_2}$. We have $t >_{\text{LP}}^{\succ} t'$ by applying the Lexicographic Case: we have $t >_{\text{LP}}^{\succ} t' \cdot 1$, $t >_{\text{LP}}^{\succ} t' \cdot 2$, $t \cdot 1 = t' \cdot 1$, and $t \cdot 2 >_{\text{LP}}^{\succ} t' \cdot 2$.

Example

Let $\text{Ack} := (\mathcal{S}, \mathbb{V}, \rightarrow)$ be the TRS such that $\mathcal{S} := (\{z, s, k\}, \text{ar})$ with $\text{ar}\cdot z = 0$, $\text{ar}\cdot s = 1$, and $\text{ar}\cdot k = 2$, $\mathbb{V} := \{v_1, v_2\}$, $kz v_1 \rightarrow sv_1$, $k_{\lfloor \underline{sv_1} \rfloor} z \rightarrow kv_1 \lfloor \underline{sz} \rfloor$, and $k_{\lfloor \underline{sv_1} \rfloor} \underline{sv_2} \rightarrow kv_1 \lfloor \underline{k_{\lfloor \underline{sv_1} \rfloor} v_2} \rfloor$.

Let \succ be the binary relation on the underlying set of \mathcal{S} satisfying $k \succ s$.

It can be easily checked that $kz v_1 >_{\text{LP}}^{\succ} sv_1$, $k_{\lfloor \underline{sv_1} \rfloor} z >_{\text{LP}}^{\succ} kv_1 \lfloor \underline{sz} \rfloor$, and $k_{\lfloor \underline{sv_1} \rfloor} \underline{sv_2} >_{\text{LP}}^{\succ} kv_1 \lfloor \underline{k_{\lfloor \underline{sv_1} \rfloor} v_2} \rfloor$.

Therefore, Ack is terminating.

Exercise ○○○○○

Let the TRS $\mathcal{T} := (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V}, \rightarrow)$ such that $\mathcal{S} := (\{f, g, a\}, \text{ar})$ with $\text{ar} \cdot f = 1$, $\text{ar} \cdot g = 1$, and $\text{ar} \cdot a = 2$, $\mathcal{V} := \{v\}$, and $f \underline{g}v \rightarrow a \underline{g} \underline{f}v$.

Show that there exists a binary relation \succ on the underlying set of \mathcal{S} such that $\succ_{\text{LP}}^{\succ}$ is a simplification relation on $\mathfrak{T} \cdot \mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{V}$.

Exercise ○○○○○

Let the TRS $\mathcal{T} := (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V}, \rightarrow)$ such that $\mathcal{S} := (\{f, g, h\}, \text{ar})$ with $\text{ar} \cdot f = 1$, $\text{ar} \cdot g = 1$, and $\text{ar} \cdot h = 1$, $\mathcal{V} := \{v\}$, $g \underline{f}v \rightarrow f \underline{h}v$, and $hv \rightarrow gv$.

1. Show that the termination of \mathcal{T} cannot be proven by the existence of a binary relation \succ on the underlying set of \mathcal{S} and an $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V}, \succ$ -weight function ω so that $\succ_{\text{KB}}^{\succ, \omega}$ is a simplification relation on $\mathfrak{T} \cdot \mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{V}$.
2. Show that the termination of \mathcal{T} cannot be proven by the existence of a binary relation \succ on the underlying set of \mathcal{S} so that $\succ_{\text{LP}}^{\succ}$ is a simplification relation on $\mathfrak{T} \cdot \mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{V}$.
3. Show that the termination of \mathcal{T} can be proven by using the polynomial interpretation method.

8. Confluence

/ Confluence

8.1. Unification

Let \mathcal{S} be a signature and V be a set of variables.

Given two \mathcal{S}, V -substitutions σ and σ' , σ is *more general* (or *less specific*) than σ' if there exists an \mathcal{S}, V -substitution σ'' such that $\sigma' = \sigma'' \circ \sigma$. This property is denoted by $\sigma \leq_g \sigma'$.

Examples

- Let the $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{N}^2}, V_{\mathbb{N}}$ -substitutions $\sigma := [\{(v_1, c_1 v_3), (v_2, c_0)\}]$ and $\sigma' := [\{(v_1, c_1 c_2 c_0 v_1), (v_2, c_0), (v_3, c_2 c_0 v_1)\}]$. We have $\sigma \leq_g \sigma'$ because $[\{(v_3, c_2 c_0 v_1)\}] \circ \sigma = \sigma'$.
- Let the $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{N}^2}, V_{\mathbb{N}}$ -substitutions $\sigma := [\{(v_1, c_0)\}]$ and $\sigma' := [\{(v_2, c_1 v_3)\}]$. We have $\sigma \not\leq_g \sigma'$ and $\sigma' \not\leq_g \sigma$.
- Let the $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{N}^2}, V_{\mathbb{N}}$ -substitutions $\sigma := [\{(v_1, c_2 v_1 v_3)\}]$ and $\sigma' := [\{(v_1, c_2 v_3 v_1)\}]$. We have $\sigma \leq_g \sigma'$ and $\sigma' \leq_g \sigma$.

Proposition [Generality relation on \mathcal{S}, V -substitutions]

Let \mathcal{S} be a signature and V be a set of variables.

- The binary relation \leq_g is a preorder.
- Let σ and σ' be two \mathcal{S}, V -substitutions. We have $\sigma \leq_g \sigma'$ and $\sigma' \leq_g \sigma$ iff there exists a **renaming** \mathcal{S}, V -substitution σ'' such that $\sigma' = \sigma'' \circ \sigma$.

Let \mathcal{S} be a signature and V be a set of variables.

Let t and t' be \mathcal{S}, V -terms. If there exists an \mathcal{S}, V -substitution σ such that $\bar{\sigma} \cdot t = \bar{\sigma} \cdot t'$, then

- the \mathcal{S}, V -terms t and t' are *unifiable*. This property is denoted by $t \sim_u t'$;
- the \mathcal{S}, V -substitution σ is a *unifier* of t and t' ;
- when for any unifier σ' of t and t' , $\sigma \leq_g \sigma'$, σ is a *most general unifier (MGU)* of t and t' ;
- when σ is an MGU of t and t' , the \mathcal{S}, V -term $\bar{\sigma} \cdot t = \bar{\sigma} \cdot t'$ is a *most general common instance (MGCI)* of t and t' .

Examples

Let the $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{N}^2}, V_{\mathbb{N}}$ -terms $t := c_2 v_1 \underline{c_2 c_0 v_1}$ and $t' := c_2 \underline{c_1 v_2} v_3$.

- Let the $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{N}^2}, V_{\mathbb{N}}$ -substitution $\sigma_1 := [\{(v_1, c_1 v_2), (v_3, c_2 c_0 \underline{c_1 v_2})\}]$.

Since $\bar{\sigma}_1 \cdot t = c_2 \underline{c_1 v_2} \underline{c_2 c_0 \underline{c_1 v_2}} = \bar{\sigma}_1 \cdot t'$, σ_1 is a unifier of t and t' .

Moreover, σ_1 is an MGU of t and t' , and $c_2 \underline{c_1 v_2} \underline{c_2 c_0 \underline{c_1 v_2}}$ is an MGCI of t and t' .

- Let the $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{N}^2}, V_{\mathbb{N}}$ -substitution $\sigma_2 := [\{(v_1, c_1 c_0), (v_2, c_0), (v_3, c_2 c_0 \underline{c_1 c_0})\}]$.

Since $\bar{\sigma}_2 \cdot t = c_2 \underline{c_1 c_0} \underline{c_2 c_0 \underline{c_1 c_0}} = \bar{\sigma}_2 \cdot t'$, σ_2 is a unifier of t and t' .

Moreover, since $\sigma_2 = [\{(v_2, c_0)\}] \circ \sigma_1$, σ_2 is not an MGU of t and t' .

Let \mathcal{S} be a signature and V be a set of variables.

An \mathcal{S}, V -substitution σ is *idempotent* if $\sigma \circ \sigma = \sigma$.

Given an \mathcal{S}, V -substitution σ , the *set of variables* of σ is the set

$$\text{Vars} \cdot \sigma := \bigcup_{v \in \text{Dom} \cdot \sigma} \text{Vars} \cdot [\sigma \cdot v].$$

Proposition [Idempotent \mathcal{S}, V -substitutions]

Let \mathcal{S} be a signature and V be a set of variables. An \mathcal{S}, V -substitution σ is idempotent iff the sets $\text{Dom} \cdot \sigma$ and $\text{Vars} \cdot \sigma$ are disjoint.

Theorem [Idempotent MGUs]

Let \mathcal{S} be a signature, V be a set of variables, and t and t' be two \mathcal{S}, V -terms. If t and t' are unifiable, then there exists an idempotent MGU of t and t' .

Let S be a signature and V be a set of variables.

For any $S \in \mathcal{P} \cdot \underline{\mathcal{T}} \cdot S \cdot \underline{V}^2$, let $\text{Vars} \cdot S := \bigcup_{(t,t') \in S} \text{Vars} \cdot t \cup \text{Vars} \cdot t'$. Moreover, for any $S \in \mathcal{P} \cdot \underline{\mathcal{T}} \cdot S \cdot \underline{V}^2$ and any S, V -substitution σ , let $\bar{\sigma} \cdot S := \{(\bar{\sigma} \cdot t, \bar{\sigma} \cdot t') : (t, t') \in S\}$.

Let the ARS $\text{Unification}_{S,V} := (\{\text{Fail}\} \cup \mathcal{P} \cdot \underline{\mathcal{T}} \cdot S \cdot \underline{V}^2, \Rightarrow)$ such that

1. [Simplification] $\{(v, v)\} \sqcup S \Rightarrow S$ if $v \in V$;
2. [Decomposition] $\{(c t_1 \dots t_n, c t'_1 \dots t'_n)\} \sqcup S \Rightarrow \{(t_1, t'_1), \dots, (t_n, t'_n)\} \cup S$;
3. [Orientation] $\{(t, v)\} \sqcup S \Rightarrow \{(v, t)\} \cup S$ if $t \notin V$ and $v \in V$;
4. [Variable elimination] $\{(v, t)\} \sqcup S \Rightarrow \{(v, t)\} \cup \overline{\{(v, t)\}} \cdot S$ if $v \notin \text{Vars} \cdot t$ and $v \in \text{Vars} \cdot S$;
5. [Recursive variable occurrence] $\{(v, t)\} \sqcup S \Rightarrow \text{Fail}$ if $t \notin V$ and $v \in \text{Vars} \cdot t$;
6. [Constant clash] $\{(c t_1 \dots t_n, c' t'_1 \dots t'_n)\} \sqcup S \Rightarrow \text{Fail}$ if $c \neq c'$.

This ARS leads to the **Martelli-Montanari unification Algorithm** [A. Martelli, U. Montanari, Unification in linear time and space: a structured presentation, 1976].

Let \mathcal{S} be a signature, V be a set of variables, and t and t' be two \mathcal{S}, V -terms.

The ARS $\text{Unification}_{\mathcal{S}, V}$ is used by computing a normal form of $\{(t, t')\}$ and, when this normal form is a set S , by considering the \mathcal{S}, V -substitution $[S]$ specified by S .

Example

Let the $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{N}^2}, V_{\mathbb{N}}$ -terms $t := c_2 v_1 [c_2 c_0 v_1]$ and $t' := c_2 [c_1 v_2] v_3$. In $\text{Unification}_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{N}^2}, V_{\mathbb{N}}}$,

$$\{(t, t')\} \Rightarrow \{(v_1, c_1 v_2), (c_2 c_0 v_1, v_3)\} \Rightarrow \{(v_1, c_1 v_2), (v_3, c_2 c_0 v_1)\} \Rightarrow \{(v_1, c_1 v_2), (v_3, c_2 c_0 [c_1 v_2])\} =: \sigma.$$

We can check that the $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{N}^2}, V_{\mathbb{N}}$ -substitution σ is an idempotent MGU of t and t' .

Example

Let the $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{N}^2}, V_{\mathbb{N}}$ -terms $t := c_3 v_1 [c_2 v_2 c_0] v_1$ and $t' := c_3 [c_1 v_2] v_3 v_2$. In $\text{Unification}_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{N}^2}, V_{\mathbb{N}}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \{(t, t')\} &\Rightarrow \{(v_1, c_1 v_2), (c_2 v_2 c_0, v_3), (v_1, v_2)\} \Rightarrow \{(v_1, c_1 v_2), (c_2 v_2 c_0, v_3), (c_1 v_2, v_2)\} \\ &\Rightarrow \{(v_1, c_1 v_2), (c_2 v_2 c_0, v_3), (v_2, c_1 v_2)\} \Rightarrow \text{Fail}. \end{aligned}$$

We can check that t and t' are not unifiable.

Due to the following results, the ARS $\text{Unification}_{S,V}$, where S is any signature and V is any set of variables, computes exactly what it is designed to compute.

Theorem [Termination of $\text{Unification}_{S,V}$]

For any signature S and set of variables V , the ARS $\text{Unification}_{S,V}$ is terminating.

Exercise ○○○○○

Show that $\text{Unification}_{S,V}$ is not convergent, where S is a signature and V is a set of variables.

Proposition [Computation of $\text{Unification}_{S,V}$]

Let S be a signature, V be a set of variables, $t, t' \in \mathcal{T} \cdot S \cdot V$, and $S := \{(t, t')\}$.

- If $t \sim_u t'$, then any normal form of S in $\text{Unification}_{S,V}$ is a set S' such that $[S']$ is an idempotent MGU of t and t' .
- Otherwise, the unique normal form of S in $\text{Unification}_{S,V}$ is Fail.

Exercise ○○○○○

By using the ARS $\text{Unification}_{S_{N^2}, V_N}$, compute a normal form of $\{(t, t')\}$ where

1. $t := c_3 v_1 [c_2 c_0 v_1] [c_1 v_2]$ and $t' := c_3 [c_1 v_2] v_3 v_4$;
2. $t := c_2 v_1 [c_1 v_2]$ and $t' := c_2 [c_2 v_3 v_2] v_1$.

Exercise ○○○○○

Describe the relation between the ARSs $\text{Matching}_{S, V}$ and $\text{Unification}_{S, V}$ where S is a signature and V is a set of variables.

Exercise ○○○○○

Let S be a signature and V be a set of variables. Let t and t' be two S, V -terms such that $t \sim_u t'$. Show that an MGCI of t and t' is a supremum of t and t' for the preorder \preceq_p .

/ Confluence

8.2. Overlaps and critical data

Let \mathcal{S} be a signature and V be a set of variables.

Let τ be a \mathcal{S}, V -term admitting the two decompositions

$$\triangleleft \cdot s_1 \cdot t_1 \cdot \sigma_1 = \tau = \triangleleft \cdot s_2 \cdot t_2 \cdot \sigma_2$$

where s_1 and s_2 are holed \mathcal{S}, V -terms, t_1 and t_2 are \mathcal{S}, V -terms, and σ_1 and σ_2 are \mathcal{S}, V -substitutions. Let w_1 (resp. w_2) be the hole position of s_1 (resp. s_2). Without loss of generality, let us consider that $\ell \cdot w_1 \leq \ell \cdot w_2$.

We distinguish the following disjoint and exhaustive three cases:

1. [Horizontal disjunction] This occurs when w_1 is not a prefix of w_2 ;
2. [Vertical disjunction] This occurs when w_1 is a prefix of w_2 , and, by setting u as the word over positive integers such that $w_2 = w_1 \cdot u$, u is not the position of an internal node of t_1 ;
3. [Overlap] This occurs when w_1 is a prefix of w_2 , and, by setting u as the word over positive integers such that $w_2 = w_1 \cdot u$, u is the position of an internal node of t_1 .

Note that when $w_1 = w_2$, we are in the Vertical disjunction Case if t_1 is a variable and in the Overlap Case otherwise.

Let $\mathcal{T} := (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V}, \rightarrow)$ be a TRS.

Let τ be an \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V} -term, and w_1 and w_2 be two positions within τ such that $\ell \cdot w_1 \leq \ell \cdot w_2$, $\tau \Rightarrow_{w_1} u_1$, and $\tau \Rightarrow_{w_2} u_2$ where u_1 and u_2 are two \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V} -terms. By Proposition [Rewrite relation of a TRS],

$$\tau = \Delta \cdot s_1 \cdot t_1 \cdot \sigma_1 \Rightarrow_{w_1} \Delta \cdot s_1 \cdot t'_1 \cdot \sigma_1 = u_1$$

and

$$\tau = \Delta \cdot s_2 \cdot t_2 \cdot \sigma_2 \Rightarrow_{w_2} \Delta \cdot s_2 \cdot t'_2 \cdot \sigma_2 = u_2$$

where s_1 and s_2 are holed \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V} -terms, t_1 , t'_1 , t_2 , and t'_2 are \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V} -terms such that $t_1 \rightarrow t'_1$ and $t_2 \rightarrow t'_2$, and σ_1 and σ_2 are \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V} -substitutions.

Let us understand what happens in each of the three previous cases.

Let us consider the previous notations and definitions.

In the **Horizontal disjunction Case**, w_1 is not a prefix of w_2 .

Therefore, by considering that \square_1 and \square_2 are two variables which do not belong to V , we have

$$r = s[\{(\square_1, \overline{\sigma}_1 \cdot t_1), (\square_2, \overline{\sigma}_2 \cdot t_2)\}]$$

where s is an $\mathcal{S}, V \sqcup \{\square_1, \square_2\}$ -term having exactly one occurrence of \square_1 and exactly one occurrence of \square_2 at respective positions w_1 and w_2 .

We have

$$u_1 \Rightarrow_{w_2} u$$

and

$$u_2 \Rightarrow_{w_1} u$$

where u is the \mathcal{S}, V -term defined by

$$u := s[\{(\square_1, \overline{\sigma}_1 \cdot t'_1), (\square_2, \overline{\sigma}_2 \cdot t'_2)\}].$$

Let us consider the previous notations and definitions.

In the **Vertical disjunction Case**, w_1 is a prefix of w_2 , and, by writing $w_2 = w_1 \cdot u$, u is not the position of an internal node of t_1 .

There exist $v \in V$ and two words u_0 and p such that $u = u_0 \cdot p$, $u_0 \in P \cdot t_1$, and $t_1 \cdot u_0 = v$.

Moreover, there exist a holed \mathcal{S}, V -term q and an \mathcal{S}, V -substitution ρ such that

$$\sigma_1 \cdot v = \Delta \cdot q \cdot t_2 \cdot \rho \Rightarrow_p \Delta \cdot q \cdot t'_2 \cdot \rho.$$

Let τ be the \mathcal{S}, V -substitution defined by $\tau \cdot v := \Delta \cdot q \cdot t'_2 \cdot \rho$, and $\tau \cdot v' := \sigma_1 \cdot v'$ for any $v' \in V \setminus \{v\}$.

Let $n := \ell_v \cdot t_1$ (resp. $n' := \ell_v \cdot t'_1$) and $\{u_0, \dots, u_{n-1}\}$ (resp. $\{u'_0, \dots, u'_{n'-1}\}$) be the set of positions of the variable v in t_1 (resp. t'_1). We have

$$u_1 \Rightarrow_{w_1 \cdot u'_0 \cdot p} \dots \Rightarrow_{w_1 \cdot u'_{n'-1} \cdot p} u$$

and

$$u_2 \Rightarrow_{w_1 \cdot u_1 \cdot p} \dots \Rightarrow_{w_1 \cdot u_{n-1} \cdot p} \Delta \cdot s_1 \cdot t_1 \cdot \tau \Rightarrow_{w_1} u$$

where u is the \mathcal{S}, V -term defined by

$$u := \Delta \cdot s_1 \cdot t'_1 \cdot \tau.$$

Let us consider the previous notations and definitions.

In the **Overlap Case**, w_1 is a prefix of w_2 , and, by writing $w_2 = w_1.u$, u is the position of an internal node of t_1 .

In this case, there is **no generic way** to exhibit an \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V} -term u such that $u_1 \Rightarrow^* u$ and $u_2 \Rightarrow^* u$.

Let $\mathcal{T} := (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V}, \rightarrow)$ be a TRS.

We shall describe a **necessary and sufficient condition** for the fact that \mathcal{T} is **locally confluent**.

When \mathcal{T} is **terminating** and \rightarrow is **finite**, this condition leads to an **algorithm** to decide local confluence of \mathcal{T} .

Let \mathcal{S} be a signature and V be a set of variables.

Let t and t' be \mathcal{S}, V -terms such that $\text{Vars}\cdot t \cap \text{Vars}\cdot t' = \emptyset$. If there exists a position u within t' such that $t'\cdot u$ is not a leaf, and $t'\cdot u$ and t are unifiable, then

- t overlaps t' ;
- u is the *overlapping* position of t in t' ;
- if σ is an MGU of $t'\cdot u$ and t , then $\bar{\sigma}\cdot t'$ is the *fusion* of t at position u into t' .

Examples

Let the $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{N}^2}, V_{\mathbb{N}}$ -terms $t' := c_{2,0} \underline{c_{2,1} v_1} \underline{c_{2,1} v_2 v_3} v_4$ and $t := c_{2,1} \underline{c_{2,0} v_5 v_6} \underline{c_{2,1} v_7 v_8}$.

- The $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{N}^2}, V_{\mathbb{N}}$ -term t overlaps t' at position $u := 1$.

The fusion of t at position u into t' is $c_{2,0} \underline{c_{2,1} \underline{c_{2,0} v_5 v_6} \underline{c_{2,1} v_7 v_8}} v_4$.

- The $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{N}^2}, V_{\mathbb{N}}$ -term t overlaps t' at position $u := 12$.

The fusion of t at position u into t' is $c_{2,0} \underline{c_{2,1} v_1} \underline{c_{2,1} \underline{c_{2,0} v_5 v_6} \underline{c_{2,1} v_7 v_8}}} v_4$.